



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/085,455	02/27/2002	Motohiro Kawahito	JP920000420US1	1801

7590 01/26/2005
IBM CORPORATION
INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY LAW DEPT.
P.O. BOX 218 - 39-254
YORKTOWN HEIGHTS, NY 10598

EXAMINER

PHAM, CHRYSTINE

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
----------	--------------

2122

DATE MAILED: 01/26/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary

Application N .

10/085,455

Applicant(s)

KAWAHITO ET AL.

Examiner

Chrystine Pham

Art Unit

2122

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 27 February 2002.
- 2a) ☐ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☒ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-16 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1-16 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☒ Claim(s) 2 is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☒ The drawing(s) filed on 27 February 2002 is/are: a) ☒ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☒ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☒ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
1. ☒ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- | | |
|---|---|
| 1) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) | 4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____ |
| 2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) | 5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) |
| 3) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>17 December 2004</u> . | 6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____ |

DETAILED ACTION

1. This action is responsive to application 10/085455 filed on February 27th 2002. Claims 1-16 are presented for examination. Priority date February 28th 2001 has been considered.

Specification

2. The lengthy specification has not been checked to the extent necessary to determine the presence of all possible minor errors. Applicant's cooperation is requested in correcting any errors of which applicant may become aware in the specification.

Claim Objections

3. Claim 2 is objected to because of the following informalities: It contains an improper reference to a base claim (see "according to claim 2", line 1). Appropriate correction is required. For compact prosecution of the claims, limitation "according to claim 2" has been interpreted as "according to claim 1".

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

4. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

5. Claims 1-16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Faiman, Jr. (USP 5836014), hereinafter, *Faiman*.

Claim 1

Faiman teaches a program optimization method (e.g., see *optimizing* 26 FIG.1 & associated text) for translating, into machine code (e.g., see *object code* 23, *executable image*, *target computer* 25 FIG.1 & associated text; see *object code images*, *machine language* col.2:49-55), source code for a program written in a programming language (e.g., see *source code* 21 FIG.1 & associated text; see *compiler front end* col.2:5-16), and for optimizing said program (e.g., see *optimizing* 26 FIG.1 & associated text) comprising the steps of:

- performing an analysis to determine whether the execution speed of said program can be increased (e.g., see *optimize speed of execution* col.2:28-35) by fixing, in a specific state (e.g., see *K-folding*, *KFOD routine*, *constant* col.4:14-21), a parameter for a predetermined command in said program (e.g., see *expressions* col.4:14-21; col.22:29-34); and
- employing results of said analysis for the generation, in said program, of a path along which said parameter of said predetermined command is fixed in said specific state (e.g., see *constant expression evaluation routine*, *runtime*, *object code image*, *Kfold routine* col.22:6-20; see *generating code* col.22:67-col.23:8; see *machine code*, *constant expression evaluation routine* col.23:32-35).

Claim 2

The rejection of base claim 1 is incorporated. *Faiman* further teaches wherein said step of generating a path includes the steps of:

- executing said program and obtaining statistical data for the appearance frequency of each available state (e.g., see *analyzing induction variables* col.3:65-col.4:5; see *detection of induction variables* col.18:40-67) wherein, according to said results of said analysis, said parameter of said predetermined command may be set (e.g., see *inductive expressions*, *multiplications*, *additions* col.4:1-10); and
- employing said obtained statistical data (e.g., see *inductive expressions* col.18:64-col.19:50) to generate said path.

Claim 3

Faiman teaches a program optimization method (e.g., see *optimizing* 26 FIG.1 & associated text) for translating, into machine code (e.g., see *object code* 23, *executable image*, *target computer* 25 FIG.1 & associated text; see *object code images*, *machine language* col.2:49-55), the source code for a program written in a programming language (e.g., see *source code* 21 FIG.1 & associated text; see *compiler front end* col.2:5-16), and for optimizing said program (e.g., see *optimizing* 26 FIG.1 & associated text) comprising the steps of:

- executing a program to obtain statistical data for an appearance frequency of each available state (e.g., see *analyzing induction variables* col.3:65-col.4:5; see *detection of induction variables* col.18:40-67) in which a parameter of a predetermined command in said program may be set (e.g., see *inductive expressions*, *multiplications*, *additions* col.4:1-10); and
- employing said obtained statistical data (e.g., see *inductive expressions* col.18:64-col.19:50) to generate a machine language program that includes, as the compiling results, a path (e.g., see *constant expression evaluation routine*, *runtime*, *object code image*, *Kfold routine* col.22:6-20; see *generating code* col.22:67-col.23:8; see *machine code*, *constant expression evaluation routine* col.23:32-35) along which said parameter of said predetermined command (e.g., see *expressions* col.4:14-21; col.22:29-34) is fixed in a specific state (e.g., see *K-folding*, *KFOD routine*, *constant* col.4:14-21).

Claim 4

The rejection of base claim 3 is incorporated. *Faiman* further teaches comprising a step of: generating a machine language program that does not include, as a compiling result, a path along which said parameter of said predetermined command is fixed in a specific state (e.g., see *conditional stores* col.20:8-14).

Claim 5

Faiman teaches a program optimization method (e.g., see *optimizing* 26 FIG.1 & associated text) for translating, into machine code (e.g., see *object code* 23, *executable image*, *target computer* 25 FIG.1 & associated text; see *object code images*, *machine language* col.2:49-55), the source code for a program written in an object-oriented programming language (e.g., see *source code* 21, *compiler front end* 20 FIG.1 & associated text; see *front end*, C++ col.2:65-col.3:10; see *compiler front end* col.2:5-16), and for optimizing said program (e.g., see *optimizing* 26 FIG.1 & associated text) comprising the steps of:

- detecting one command, of the commands in said program, for which a method call destination can be identified (e.g., see *tuples*, *routine call*, *procedure calls* col.14:47-65), and for which the processing speed can be increased by identifying said method call destination (e.g., see *tuples* col.12:59-65; see *flow graphs*, *procedure calls*, *variable*, *memory locations* col.13:43-55; ; see *optimize speed of execution*, *flow graph* col.2:28-34); and
- generating a path (e.g., see *constant expression evaluation routine*, *runtime*, *object code image*, *Kfold routine* col.22:6-20; see *generating code* col.22:67-col.23:8; see *machine code*, *constant expression evaluation routine* col.23:32-35) wherefor said method call destination for said detected command is limited in order to increase the processing speed of said command (e.g., see *Restrictions*, *tuple* col.55:53-66).

Claim 6

Faiman teaches a program optimization method (e.g., see *optimizing* 26 FIG.1 & associated text) for translating, into machine code (e.g., see *object code* 23, *executable image*, *target computer* 25 FIG.1 & associated text; see *object code images*, *machine language* col.2:49-55), the source code for a program written in a programming language (e.g., see *source code* 21 FIG.1 & associated text; see *compiler front end* col.2:5-16), and for optimizing said program (e.g., see *optimizing* 26 FIG.1 & associated text) comprising the steps of:

- detecting one command, of the commands in said program (e.g., see *expressions* col.4:14-21; col.22:29-34), for which a variable can be limited to a predetermined constant value (e.g., see *K-*

folding, KFOD routine, constant col.4:14-21), and for which the processing speed can be increased by limiting said variable to said constant value (e.g., see *optimize speed of execution col.2:28-35*); and

- o generating a path along which said constant value of said variable of said detected command is fixed (e.g., see *constant expression evaluation routine, runtime, object code image, Kfold routine col.22:6-20*; see *generating code col.22:67-col.23:8*; see *machine code, constant expression evaluation routine col.23:32-35*).

Claim 7

Faiman teaches a compiler (e.g., see *compiler front end 20 FIG.1 & associated text*) for translating into machine code (e.g., see *object code 23, executable image, target computer 25 FIG.1 & associated text*; see *object code images, machine language col.2:49-55*) the source code for a program written in a programming language (e.g., see *source code 21 FIG.1 & associated text*; see *compiler front end col.2:5-16*), and for optimizing the resultant program (e.g., see *optimizing 26 FIG.1 & associated text*) comprising:

- o an impact analysis unit for performing an analysis to determine how much (e.g., see *effects 42, dependencies 43 FIG.4 & associated text*) the execution speed of said program can be increased (e.g., see *optimize speed of execution col.2:28-35*) by fixing, in a specific state (e.g., see *K-folding, KFOD routine, constant col.4:14-21*), a parameter of a predetermined command in said program (e.g., see *expressions col.4:14-21; col.22:29-34*); and
- o a specialization unit for employing the analysis results obtained by said impact analysis unit to generate, in said program, a specialized path along which said parameter of said predetermined command is fixed in said specific state (e.g., see *constant expression evaluation routine, runtime, object code image, Kfold routine col.22:6-20*; see *generating code col.22:67-col.23:8*; see *machine code, constant expression evaluation routine col.23:32-35*).

Claim 8

The rejection of base claim 7 is incorporated. *Faiman* further teaches:

- a data specialization selector for, when said program is executed, obtaining statistical data for the appearance frequency of each state obtained by said impact analysis unit (e.g., see *analyzing induction variables* col.3:65-col.4:5; see *detection of induction variables* col.18:40-67), and for determining the state in which said parameter of said predetermined command is to be set (e.g., see *inductive expressions, multiplications, additions* col.4:1-10),
- wherein said specialization unit generates a specialized path along which said parameter of said predetermined command is fixed in a state determined by said data specialization selector (e.g., see *inductive expressions* col.18:64-col.19:50).

Claim 9

The rejection of base claim 8 is incorporated. *Faiman* further teaches wherein, in accordance with the state of said program at execution, said specialization unit generates, in said program, a branching process for selectively performing a specialized path and an unspecialized path (e.g., see *conditional branch, cases* col.23:20-40); and wherein, while taking into account a delay due to the insertion of said branching process (e.g., see *Delayed Actions, passes* col.25:30-40), said data specialization selector determines a state in which said parameter of said predetermined command is fixed (e.g., see *inductive expressions* col.18:64-col.19:50).

Claim 10

Faiman teaches a computer (e.g., see FIGS.1, 2 & associated text) comprising:

- an input device for receiving source code for a program (e.g., see *source code 21, compiler front end 20, shell 11* FIG.1 & associated text; col.6:14-21);
- a compiler (e.g., *compiler front end 20* FIG.1 & associated text) for translating said source code to compile said program (e.g., see *compiler front end* col.2:5-16) and for converting said compiled program into machine language code (e.g., see *object code 23, executable image* FIG.1 & associated text; see *object code images, machine language* col.2:49-55); and

- a processor for executing said machine language code (e.g., see *CPU 14* FIG.2 & associated text; see *target computer 25* FIG.1 & associated text),
- wherein said compiler includes
- means for performing an analysis to determine whether the execution speed of said program can be improved (e.g., see *optimize speed of execution* col.2:28-35) by fixing in a specific state (e.g., see *K-folding, KFOD routine, constant* col.4:14-21) a parameter of a predetermined command in said program (e.g., see *expressions* col.4:14-21; col.22:29-34), and
- means for generating in said program, based on the analysis results, a path along which said parameter of said predetermined command is fixed in said specific state and for compiling said program (e.g., see *constant expression evaluation routine, runtime, object code image, Kfold routine* col.22:6-20; see *generating code* col.22:67-col.23:8; see *machine code, constant expression evaluation routine* col.23:32-35), and
- wherein said compiler outputs, as the compiled results, said machine language code that includes said path along which the state of said parameter is fixed (e.g., see *constant expression evaluation routine, runtime, object code image, Kfold routine* col.22:6-20; see *generating code* col.22:67-col.23:8; see *machine code, constant expression evaluation routine* col.23:32-35).

Claim 11

Faiman teaches a computer (e.g., see FIGS.1, 2 & associated text) comprising:

- an input device, for receiving source code for a program (e.g., see *source code 21, compiler front end 20, shell 11* FIG.1 & associated text; col.6:14-21);
- a compiler (e.g., *compiler front end 20* FIG.1 & associated text), for translating said source code to compile said program (e.g., see *compiler front end* col.2:5-16) and for converting said compiled program into machine language code (e.g., see *object code 23, executable image* FIG.1 & associated text; see *object code images, machine language* col.2:49-55); and
- a processor, for executing said machine language code (e.g., see *CPU 14* FIG.2 & associated text; see *target computer 25* FIG.1 & associated text),

- wherein said compiler includes
- means for obtaining statistical data for the appearance frequency of each available state wherein a parameter for a predetermined command in said program may be set when said program is executed (e.g., see *analyzing induction variables* col.3:65-col.4:5; see *detection of induction variables* col.18:40-67), and for employing said statistical data to determine a state in which said parameter of said predetermined command is to be fixed (e.g., see *inductive expressions, multiplications, additions* col.4:1-10), and
- means for generating a specialized path along which said parameter of said predetermined command is fixed in said determined state, and for compiling said program (e.g., see *constant expression evaluation routine, runtime, object code image, Kfold routine* col.22:6-20; see *generating code* col.22:67-col.23:8; see *machine code, constant expression evaluation routine* col.23:32-35), and
- wherein said compiler outputs, as the compiled results, said program as said machine language code that includes said specialized path (e.g., see *constant expression evaluation routine, runtime, object code image, Kfold routine* col.22:6-20; see *generating code* col.22:67-col.23:8; see *machine code, constant expression evaluation routine* col.23:32-35).

Claim 12

The rejection of base claim 11 is incorporated. *Faiman* further teaches comprising: said compiler further includes means for compiling said program without generating a specialized path, wherein, when said state of said parameter to be fixed can not be determined, said means for determining the state of said parameter of said predetermined command outputs, as compiled results, said program in said machine language code, which is generated by said means for compiling said program without generating said specialized path, that does not include said specialized path (e.g., see *conditional stores* col.20:8-14).

Claim 13

Faiman teaches a support program, for controlling a computer to support generation of a program (e.g., see *machine code, constant expression evaluation routine* col.23:32-35), which permits said computer to perform:

- a function for performing an analysis to determine whether the execution speed of said program can be increased (e.g., see *optimize speed of execution* col.2:28-35) by fixing a parameter of a predetermined command (e.g., see *expressions* col.4:14-21; col.22:29-34) of said computer program in a specific state (e.g., see *K-folding, KFOD routine, constant* col.4:14-21); and
- a function for generating in said program, based on the analysis results, a path along which said parameter of said predetermined command is fixed in said specific state (e.g., see *constant expression evaluation routine, runtime, object code image, Kfold routine* col.22:6-20; see *generating code* col.22:67-col.23:8; see *machine code, constant expression evaluation routine* col.23:32-35).

Claim 14

Faiman teaches a support program, for controlling a computer to support generation of a program (e.g., see *machine code, constant expression evaluation routine* col.23:32-35), which permits said computer to perform:

- a function for executing said program and obtaining statistical data for the appearance frequency of each available state (e.g., see *analyzing induction variables* col.3:65-col.4:5; see *detection of induction variables* col.18:40-67) wherein said parameter of said predetermined command of said program may be set (e.g., see *inductive expressions, multiplications, additions* col.4:1-10); and
- a function for generating in said program, based on said statistical data, a path along which said parameter of said predetermined command is fixed in said specific state (e.g., see *constant expression evaluation routine, runtime, object code image, Kfold routine* col.22:6-20; see *generating code* col.22:67-col.23:8; see *machine code, constant expression evaluation routine* col.23:32-35; *inductive expressions* col.18:64-col.19:50).

Claim 15

Faiman teaches a storage medium (e.g., see *memory 15, 17 FIG.2 & associated text*) on which input means of a computer stores a computer-readable support program (e.g., see *machine code, constant expression evaluation routine col.23:32-35*), for controlling said computer to support generation of a program, that permits said computer to perform:

- a function for performing an analysis to determine whether the execution speed of said program can be increased (e.g., see *optimize speed of execution col.2:28-35*) by fixing a parameter of a predetermined command (e.g., see *expressions col.4:14-21; col.22:29-34*) of said computer program in a specific state (e.g., see *K-folding, KFOD routine, constant col.4:14-21*); and
- a function for generating in said program, based on the analysis results, a path along which said parameter of said predetermined command is fixed in said specific state (e.g., see *constant expression evaluation routine, runtime, object code image, Kfold routine col.22:6-20*; see *generating code col.22:67-col.23:8*; see *machine code, constant expression evaluation routine col.23:32-35*).

Claim 16

Faiman teaches a storage medium (e.g., see *memory 15, 17 FIG.2 & associated text*) on which input means of a computer stores a computer-readable support program (e.g., see *machine code, constant expression evaluation routine col.23:32-35*), for controlling said computer to support generation of a program, that permits said computer to perform:

- a function for executing said program and obtaining statistical data for the appearance frequency of each available state (e.g., see *analyzing induction variables col.3:65-col.4:5*; see *detection of induction variables col.18:40-67*) wherein said parameter of said predetermined command of said program may be set (e.g., see *inductive expressions, multiplications, additions col.4:1-10*); and

Art Unit: 2122

- o a function for generating in said program, based on said statistical data, a path along which said parameter of said predetermined command is fixed in said specific state (e.g., see *constant expression evaluation routine, runtime, object code image, Kfold routine* col.22:6-20; see *generating code* col.22:67-col.23:8; see *machine code, constant expression evaluation routine* col.23:32-35; *inductive expressions* col.18:64-col.19:50).


Conclusion

6. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.
7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Chrystine Pham whose telephone number is 571-272-3702. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Fri, 8:30am-5pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Tuan Q Dam can be reached on 571-272-3695. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

January 21, 2005


TUAN DAM
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER